

# The Environment as an Argument

## Context-Aware Functional Programming

**Pedro Martins**  
Julie A. McCann  
Susan Eisenbach

PADL 2012

## Context-awareness

*"Context is any information that can be used to characterize the situation of an entity. An entity is a person, place, or object that is considered relevant to the interaction between a user and an application, including the user and applications themselves."*

Dey, 2000

# Why Context-awareness matters

- Widespread availability of mobile computing devices.
- Appearance of practical context-aware applications.
- Existing research didn't see widespread practical adoption:
  - Inflexible and heavyweight.
  - A more declarative approach needed?

# Our goals

- Context should be invisible in the eyes of the programmer.
- Contextual values should not behave differently from regular values.
- Common functionality should be derived:
  - Fetching information from sensors.
  - Known relationships between data and context.
- Safety guarantees must be provided.

# Example scenario

- We wish to present a sorted list of shops on a mobile device.
- Abstracting away common functionality:
  - Fetching location from sensors.
  - Sorting by proximity.
- Example in an ideal Haskell-like language.

## Example scenario

Application code:

```
nearestShops = sortC location allShops
```

```
main = loop (realize (print (take 10 nearestShops)))
```

## Example scenario

Application code:

```
nearestShops :: [Shop] :↓ { User ▷ IsLocatedAt }  
nearestShops = sortC location allShops
```

```
main = loop (realize (print (take 10 nearestShops)))
```

## Example scenario

Application code:

```
nearestShops :: [Shop] :↓ { User ▷ IsLocatedAt }  
nearestShops = sortC location allShops
```

```
main = loop (realize (print (take 10 nearestShops)))
```

## Example scenario

Application code:

```
nearestShops :: [Shop] :↓ { User ▷ IsLocatedAt }  
nearestShops = sortC location allShops
```

```
main = loop (realize (print (take 10 nearestShops)))
```

```
-- note that
```

```
take :: Int → [a] → [a]
```

```
take 10 nearestShops :: [Shop] :↓ { User ▷ IsLocatedAt }
```

## Example scenario

Application code:

```
nearestShops :: [Shop] :↓ { User ▷ IsLocatedAt }  
nearestShops = sortC location allShops
```

```
main = loop (realize (print (take 10 nearestShops)))
```

# Example scenario

Application code:

```
nearestShops :: [Shop] :↓ { User ▷ IsLocatedAt }
```

```
nearestShops = sortC location allShops
```

```
main = loop (realize (print (take 10 nearestShops)))
```

```
-- main is equivalent to:
```

```
main = loop do
```

```
  loc ← fetchLocation
```

```
  User ► IsLocatedAt := loc
```

```
  print (take 10 nearestShops)
```

```
-- custom do-notation provides type safety
```

# Outline: Context-awareness in a declarative language

We address two main aspects of context-awareness:

- Defining computations that depend on context values.
- Managing a global knowledge base of context.

# Context-dependent values

- Context-dependent values are (isomorphic to) functions:  
 $a : \downarrow c \cong c \rightarrow a$
- We can apply them to a context to get their value.
- The challenge is then to make these behave as values.
  - So we can apply regular functions to them.

# Making context-dependent values first-class

- We can start by using the applicative functor idiom:

$$\text{pure} :: a \rightarrow a : \downarrow c$$
$$\text{pure } x = \lambda c. x$$
$$(\otimes) :: (a \rightarrow b) : \downarrow c \rightarrow a : \downarrow c \rightarrow b : \downarrow c$$
$$cf \otimes cx = \lambda c. (cf c) (cx c)$$
$$\llbracket f \ x_1 \ x_2 \ \dots \ x_n \rrbracket = \text{pure } f \ \otimes \ x_1 \ \otimes \ x_2 \ \otimes \ \dots \ \otimes \ x_n$$

# Making context-dependent values first-class

- The type of  $\otimes$  in the previous slide is arguably too restrictive.  
 $(\otimes) :: (a \rightarrow b) : \downarrow c \rightarrow a : \downarrow c \rightarrow b : \downarrow c$
- It only works for a single context type!
- It would be better if we could combine different context types.
- As a first approach, consider:  
 $(\otimes_{\times}) :: (a \rightarrow b) : \downarrow c_1 \rightarrow a : \downarrow c_2 \rightarrow b : \downarrow (c_1 \times c_2)$   
 $\text{af } \otimes_{\times} \text{ ax} = (\text{af} \circ \text{fst}) \otimes (\text{ax} \circ \text{snd})$
- This scheme works, but produces duplicates.

# Making context-dependent values first-class II

- We need to define strongly typed heterogeneous sets.
- We define  $\pi_{\subseteq}$  as a way to extract subsets of context:

$$\pi_{\subseteq} :: (c_1 \subseteq c_2) \Rightarrow c_2 \rightarrow c_1$$

- We also define set union at the type level.
- We can now define a much more flexible operator:

$$(\otimes_{\cup}) :: (a \rightarrow b) : \downarrow c_1 \rightarrow a : \downarrow c_2 \rightarrow b : \downarrow (c_1 \cup c_2)$$

$$\text{af } \otimes_{\cup} \text{ ax} = (\text{af} \circ \pi_{\subseteq}) \otimes (\text{ax} \circ \pi_{\subseteq})$$

- This solves the problem.
  - Collects all dependencies in the arguments in a minimal set.
  - Allows us to apply regular functions to context-dependent values.

# Managing the knowledge base

- We now turn our attention to the knowledge base problem.
- It should interact well with the abstractions so far.
- The typing information allows for safety guarantees.
- We use a parameterised state monad for this.

# Managing the knowledge base II: Parameterised monads

- We can extend  $:\downarrow$  to keep track of eventual produced context:

$$\text{CR}(c_1, c_2, a) \cong c_1 \rightarrow (a \times c_2)$$

- In our case:

- $c_1$  represents the required context set.
- $c_2$  represents the resulting context set.

- This forms a (well-known) parameterised monad:

$$\text{return} :: a \rightarrow \text{CR}(c, c, a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (>>=) :: \text{CR}(c_1, c_2, a) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow \text{CR}(c_2, c_3, b)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{CR}(c_1, c_3, b) \end{aligned}$$

- We can use custom do-notation as seen in the example.

# Managing the knowledge base III: Parameterised monads

- Execution of these computations requires the empty context:  
 $\text{runCR} :: \text{CR}(\emptyset, \text{cr}, a) \rightarrow (a, \text{cr})$
- When we add knowledge to the KB, we keep track of it:  
 $\text{pushC} :: c \rightarrow \text{CR}(cs, \{c\} \cup cs, ())$
- Injection from  $:\downarrow$  to CR enforces existence of context:  
 $\text{inContext} :: a : \downarrow cs \rightarrow \text{CR}(cs, cs, a)$

# Managing the knowledge base IV: Realisable

- The  $:\downarrow$  type contains the required context information.
- If we know how to fetch the required contextual set:  
 $\text{realize} :: (\text{Realizable } c) \Rightarrow a : \downarrow c \rightarrow \text{CR}(\emptyset, c, a)$

## In summary

- Standard functions applied over contextual values, seamlessly.
- Contextual dependencies accumulated in the type.
  - Using the modified applicative idiom: `pure` and `⊗U`.
- Information added to the KB manually is tracked in the type.
- `realize` automatically derives safe retrieval code.
- Safety is enforced.
  - Using the CR parameterised state monad.

# Future Work

- A translation from the ideal language to the EDSL (completed).
- Richer variants of the retrieval-usage loop (FRP?).
- Dealing with historical data.

# Conclusion

- Embedding of context-awareness semantics.
- Easier and safer to develop context-aware applications.
- Can hopefully make FP more attractive to developers.

Thank you for listening. Questions?